

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexa)dria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO |). | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/715,068 | | 11/20/2000 | Bryan A. Slavin | 31333-164218 | 8870 | |
| 26694 | 7590 | 10/05/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| VENABL | E LLP | | | WINDER, F | WINDER, PATRICE L | |
| P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20045-9998 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2145 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 10/05/200 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/715,068 | SLAVIN, BRYAN A. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| _ | Patrice Winder | 2145 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON | ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A | August 2005. | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-26 and 28-39 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2-26,28-30 and 33-39 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | awn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing (a) filed as | · | - Evaninas | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | = | * * | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | r1 | | | | | | |
| 1) | 4) ∐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail I | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/715,068

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant's present claim language leaves it ambiguous whether the computer system and the computer are the same entity.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim recites a computer readable medium comprising software than is not "tangibly embodied".

Specification

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the content does not include reference to the allowable subject matter. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-26, 28-30, 33-39 are allowed.

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 2-26, 33-36, 38-39 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art fails to teach or suggest a computer system to provide at least one telephone feature to a telephone of a user, the network comprises a bi-directional protocol layer and a uni-directional protocol layer, wherein direction of the uni-directional protocol layer communicates is from the computer system to the computer, i.e. pushing information from the computer system to the computer as amended by applicant.

Claims 28-30, 37 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art fails to teach or suggest sending a refresh request to the graphical user interface to prompt the computer to request an update on a state of the telephone as amended by applicant.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrice Winder whose telephone number is 571-272-3935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 am-7:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/715,068 Page 4

Art Unit: 2145

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrice Winder Primary Examiner Art Unit 2145

October 1, 2005